Interview Summary	10/615,844	KINDLEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTINE D. HOPKINS	3735	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>CHRISTINE D. HOPKINS</u> .	(3) <u>Charles Marmor, II</u> .		
(2) Robert Webster, Reg. No.46,472.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 27 October 2008.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	;]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant agreed to mino Examiners Amendment of Paper No. 20081009</u> . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no continue the state of	r changes in the claim langua ments which the examiner agopy of the amendments that w	ge as set forth in	<u>the</u> er the claims
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBST	CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP / DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO

Application No.

Applicant(s)